

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,318	07/24/2003	Jong Jyr Kau	2071001	2280	
PRO-TECHTOR INTERNATIONAL SERVICES			EXAMINER		
			CROW, STEPHEN R		
20775 Norada ( Saratoga, CA			PAPER NUMBER		
			3764		
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/627,318	KAU, JONG JYR			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Steve R. Crow	3764			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, epty received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  noe except for formal matters, pro		erits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accertainly a	r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	(2)		

Application/Control Number: 10/627,318 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al in view of Dalebout et al.
- 5. The claims are difficult to understand, so as best and as broadly understood by the examiner:

Wang et al discloses a foldaway treadmill having a pair of vertical posts on a base frame 20, a pneumatic cylinder 44.

Art Unit: 3764

Dalebout et al discloses a treadmill having, as shown in figure 7 a cylinder having oil fluid chambers 104 and air chambers 102 with passage groove therein to provide buffering action during use.

In view of Dalebout et al, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute a dual chambered pneumatic-hydraulic cylinder for the Wang et al cylinder as an alternative damping means for folding the Wang et al treadmill.

As to claims 3 and 6, wheels are well recognized in the treadmill art, as exemplified by Dalebout et al; therefore, their inclusion in the Wang et al device would be obvious for support and transportation purposes.

As to claim 6, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide left and right cylinders and accessories for safety and symmetry of force vectors during use.

Note Wang's switch handles and fixing tips 84 which would comprise left and right pairs for each side of the frame.

As to claim 4, drive means are well recognized in the treadmill art, as exemplified by Dalebout et al; therefore, its inclusion in the Wang et al device would be obvious for operating the treadmill belt.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al in view of Dalebout et al as applied to claim4 above, and further in view of Del Mar. Del Mar teaches the use of a shock-proof pad located on the frame to lessen shock from the user platform. Given this teaching, it would have been obvious to provide a

Art Unit: 3764

shock absorbing pad on the Wang et al, modified supra, treadmill, for lessening shock form the user platform.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve R. Crow whose telephone number is 571-272-4973. The examiner can normally be reached on Reg:8:30-6;Off First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AP/DOZ/ STEPHEN R. CROW PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 332